universität innsbruck



Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot Court

The University of Innsbruck was founded in 1669 and is one of Austria's oldest universities. Today, with over 28.000 students and 5.000 staff, it is western Austria's largest institution of higher education and research.

What is a Moot Court?

A simulation of court proceedings for you to practice theoretical knowledge on a (real) case.

Through written and oral submissions you improve legal research, writing and advocacy skills.

https://www.uibk.ac.at/rewi/moot-courts/

- ✓ Insight into legal practice.
- ✓ Cooperation with law firms.
 - ✓ Build you network.

- ✓ Develop your own arguments.
- ✓ Write a legal submission (Schriftsatz).
- ✓ Fun application of theoretical knowledge.

Mooting: What it is and why take part? (Oxford Law School) https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/mooting-what-is-it-and-why-take-part

Scott / Soirila, The Politics of the Moot Court, *European Journal of International Law*, Volume 32, Issue 3, August 2021, Pages 1079-1106 https://academic.oup.com/ejil/article/32/3/1079/6403513

Moot Court Zivilrecht Innsbruck 2019 Video: https://fb.watch/r6lpj39Dyz/



"The Olympics of the Moot Courts"



As counsel, you argue on behalf of your client in written submissions and oral pleadings during simulated arbitration proceedings on

issues of international contract law

and

procedural issues of international arbitration

before a tribunal of professionals and scholars against fellow students.



The VIS timeline



THE PROBLEM

Thirtieth Annual Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot





Preparation

- 1. The Problem
- 2. Clarifications

Written Phase

- 1. Memorandum for Claimant
- 2. Memorandum for Respondent

Oral Phase

- 1. Pre-Moots
- 2. Vis Moot Week Vienna

September

October

January

April



ANNUAL WILLEM C. VIS INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION MOOT

THE PROBLEM

Thirtieth Annual Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot

> Vienna, Austria 2022/2023

Oral Hearings 31 March - 6 April 2023

Organised by: Association for the Organisation and Promotion of the Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot

and

Twentieth Annual Willem C. Vis (East) International Commercial Arbitration Moot Hong Kong

> **Oral Arguments** 19 - 26 March 2023 Organised by: Vis East Moot Foundation Limited



PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 1

of 7 October 2022

in the Arbitral Proceedings PCA Case No. 2022-76:

- I. Following the receipt of the file from the Permanent Court of Arbitration the Tribunal held a videoconference with both Parties on 6 October 2022 to discuss the further conduct of the proceedings.
- II. The Arbitral Tribunal takes note of the fact that in the videoconference of 6 October 2022 both Parties agreed:
 - to conduct the proceedings in good faith without any prejudice to the bifurcation request - in accordance with the procedural timetable set forth below;
 - to limit the first phase of the Arbitration to questions listed below addressing the Arbitral Tribunal's jurisdiction, Respondent's stay application, questions as to the applicable law, and the avoidance of the Agreement.
- III. In light of these agreements and considerations, the Arbitral Tribunal hereby makes the following orders:
 - 1. In their next submissions and at the Hearing the Parties shall address the following issues:
 - a. Does the Arbitral Tribunal have jurisdiction to hear the dispute?
 - b. If the Tribunal's jurisdiction can be established should the proceedings be stayed until the investigations against Mr. Field have been concluded or, alternatively, bifurcated?
 - c. Is the Purchase and Supply Agreement governed by the CISG?
 - d. In case the Purchase and Supply Agreement is governed by the CISG, can Respondent rely on Art. 3.2.5 of the International Commercial Contract Act of Equatoriana to avoid the contract as stated in its letter of 30 May 2022 or is Claimant correct that this is excluded in light of the facts invoked?

The Arbitral Tribunal is aware that the various questions are closely connected to each other. Thus, the Parties are free to decide in which order they address the various issues. No further questions going to the merits of the claims should be addressed at this stage of the proceedings, in particular no questions relating to the prayer for relief or further issues.

2. Pursuant to Arts. 17(2), 20, and 21 of the PCA Rules, written submissions are to be made in accordance with the Rules of the Moot agreed upon at the videoconference. For their submissions the following Procedural Timetable applies:

Claimant's Statement of Claim: no later than 8 December 2022; Respondent's Statement of Defence: no later than 26 January 2023.



C Association for the Organisation and Promotion of the Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot

- 3. It is undisputed between the Parties that
 - · Equatoriana, Mediterraneo and Danubia are Contracting States of the CISG;
 - Equatoriana is a common law country and has based its International Commercial Contract Act on the UNIDROIT Principles on International Commercial Contracts;
- all three countries are Contracting Parties to the 1899 and 1907 Hague Conventions (the PCA's founding conventions);
- · all three countries are Contracting Parties to the United Nations Convention against Corruption;
- all three countries are Member States of the New York Convention, and their national arbitration law is a verbatim adoption of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration with the 2006 amendments.
- 4. In the event Parties need further information, Requests for Clarification must be made in accordance with para. 29 of the Rules of the Moot no later than 28 October 2022 via their online party (team) account. No team is allowed to submit more than ten questions. Where an institution is participating in both Hong Kong and Vienna, the Hong Kong team should submit its questions together with those of the team participating in Vienna via the latter's account on the Vis website.

Clarifications must be categorized as follows:

- (1) Questions relating to the Claimant and its business;
- (2) Questions relating to the Respondent and its business;
- (3) Questions relating to the two UAVs;
- (4) Questions relating to the arbitration clause and the consent requirement;
- (5) Ouestions related to the conclusion of the Agreement;
- (6) Questions relating to the corruption allegations and the charges brought against Mr. Field;
- (7) Questions relating to the misrepresentation allegations;
- (8) Questions concerning the applicable laws and rules;
- (9) Other questions.
- IV. Pursuant to Art. 28 (1) of the PCA Rules, both Parties are invited to attend the Hearing scheduled for 31 March to 6 April 2023, in Vindobona, Danubia.

Vindobona, 7 October 2022

Dr. Michael Carel Asser, Presiding Arbitrator



Writing the Memoranda

TWENTY-NINTH ANNUA

WILLEM C. VIS INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION MOOT VIENNA, AUSTRIA — 9 APRIL TO 14 APRIL 2022

MEMORANDUM FOR CLAIMANT



UNIVERSITY OF INNSBRUCK

Ref.: AIAC / INT / ADM-123-2021

ON BEHALF OF:

AGAINST:

ElGuP plc 156 Dendé Avenue JAJA Biofuel Ltd 9601 Rudolf Diesel Street

Capital City Mediterraneo Oceanside Equatoriana

CLAIMANT

RESPONDENT

FABIAN ABFALTER - KATHARINA GÄCHTER - LUKAS JÄGER - MATTHIAS L. KRIVDIĆ ALEKSANDRA MARKOVIĆ - KATHARINA STÖBICH

Understand the case.

Read the case file, then read it again. Identify the legal issues and relevant facts.

Create a timeline and set deadlines.

What happened when? Who was involved and how? When is each draft due?

Start your research.

Literature, case law, legal theory etc.

Develop a basic structure.

Structure the issues for merits and procedure, what are you arguing for?

Start writing!



UNIVERSITY OF INNSBRUCK

MEMORANDUM FOR CLAIMANT

PART III: Conclusion and requests

- 141 In conclusion, the tribunal's jurisdiction is preserved irrespective of the law of Mediterraneo including the CISG or Danubia being chosen to be applicable to the contract and arbitration agreement, but parties' choices of law should be respected, serving the pre visibility of proceedings characteristics and justifying their preference to submit to arbitration.
- 142 RESPONDENT's willingness to be bound in addition to sufficient definiteness of the offer can be ascertained under several circumstances. Due to Ms Bupati's established business practice and employment, RESPONDENT was aware of the GC's content at the time of their order, equally so if the tribunal were to find the contract to have been concluded at a later time.
- 143 The doctrine of severability then empowers the tribunal to uphold parties' implicit choice of law of Danubia to govern the arbitration agreement, but the arbitration agreements validity and valid formation perseveres by either submission.
- 144 In view of the above, CLAIMANT respectfully requests the honourable tribunal to affirm
 - the consensual submission of the substantial contract to the law of Mediterraneo including the CISG,
 - II. the law of Danubia's applicability to parties' arbitral agreement, and
 - III. its proper jurisdiction derived from parties's agreement to arbitrate.
- 145 CLAIMANT reserves the right to amend its requests as may be necessary.

October

December



Writing the Memoranda

TWENTY-NINTH ANNUAL

WILLEM C. VIS INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION MOOT

VIENNA, AUSTRIA - 9 APRIL TO 14 APRIL 2022

MEMORANDUM FOR RESPONDENT



UNIVERSITY OF INNSBRUCK

Ref.: AIAC / INT / ADM-123-2021

ON BEHALF OF: AGAINST:

JAJA Biofuel Ltd

9601 Rudolf Diesel Street 156 Dendé Avenue

Oceanside

RESPONDENT

Capital City Mediterraneo

CLAIMANT

ElGuP plc

Equitoriana Medito

FABIAN ABFALTER - KATHARINA GÄCHTER - LUKAS JÄGER - MATTHIAS L. KRIVDIĆ

ALEKSANDRA MARKOVIĆ - KATHARINA STÖBICH

Read the problem (again) from Respondent's perspective.

You know Claimant's arguments by heart – now argue against them.

Analyse and respond to the opponent's memorandum.

What are their arguments, sources and references? What is their strategy and reasoning?

Create a timeline, set deadlines, refine your research and develop a structure.

You know the process, now argue for Respondent.

Start wirting!



CONCLUSION AND REQUESTS

- 152 Despite either Parties earnest intention, they could not agree on a mutually acceptable manner of dispute resolution and hence not conclude a contract for the sale and delivery of RSPO-certified palm oil. RESPONDENT has voiced its objections to arbitration as the DRM proposed by CLAIMANT from the very start of negotiations, preventing any consensus being established on the conditions of the business transaction.
- 153 Even if the tribunal were to find that a contract had been concluded between the Parties, the arbitration agreement would not have been incorporated into said contract for lack of incorporation of CLAIMANT's GC as they were not made available to RESPONDENT and for lack of RESPONDENT's awareness of the individual clause containing the arbitration agreement.
- 154 The arbitral tribunal is empowered to examine the Parties potential arbitration agreement under the law of Mediterraneo including the CISG as the presumably applicable law, however, as the agreement has never been validly concluded in neither formation nor form, it shall subsequently refer the dispute to national arbitration.
- 155 In view of the above, **RESPONDENT respectfully requests** the honourable tribunal to affirm
 - the consensual presumptive submission of both the substantial contract and arbitral agreement to the law of Mediterraneo including the CISG,
 - II. the lack of Parties' consensus to conclude either agreement, and
 - III. thereof resulting jurisdiction of the national courts of Equatoriana
- 156 RESPONDENT reserves the right to amend its requests as may be necessary.

December

January



Structure of the Hearings

14 + 1 Minutes

The standard agreement is a 14 minute oral argument plus 1 minute rebuttal.

Questions

The tribunal may ask questions at any point during your argument – be alert, answer precisely and convincingly.

Responsiveness.

Be reponsive to the arguments raised by opposing counsel.

3 arbitrators

Each tribunal consists of three expert practitioners and scholars evaluating your performance and providing feedback.



2 oralists

For both
Claimant and
Respondent, two
members of each
team present the
oral arguments.

February



Pre-Moots 2022

ICC Paris

McGill University, Canada
Université de Liège, Belgium
University of Colorado, Canada
Pristhina University, Kosovo
Belgrade

Warsaw University, Poland
Esade Law School, Spain
London School of Economics (LSE), UK
Universität Bern, Switzerland







February

April



Pre-Moots 2023

Fordham New York

Test Pleading @Handelskammer Bozen

University Bern @ Marxer & Partner Vaduz

Freshfields Vienna

All Munich Rounds

White & Case Brussels







February

April



VIS Moot Week Vienna

4+ Hearings

Assessment: Organisation & Preparation, Knowledge of the Facts & Law, General Presentation Skills, Questions & Time Limits, Rebuttal & Responsiveness

Official Events

Opening & Closing Ceremonies, Announcement of top 64 teams

Social Events & Networking

Law Firm Receptions, Lectures & Keynotes, Panel Discussions, Debates, Dachgeschoss Meeting Area ReWi Wien, Mootie Bar, Parties, ...









11 April 2025 April 17, 2025



32nd Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot Court @University of Innsbruck

APPLY NOW

Send your Application to <u>vismoot-ReWi@uibk.ac.at</u> (Subject: Vis Moot 2025 Application) including your CV, letter of motivation and academic transcript.



Why YOU should participate:

It is an exciting and unique experience in many regards.

- ✓ You learn to work with case files.
- ✓ You enhance your legal drafting skills.
- ✓ You gain access to a network of peers.
- ✓ You engage with high-profile arbitrators.
 - ✓ You will have a lot of fun.



now

Applications are accepted on a rolling basis.



32nd Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot Court @University of Innsbruck

APPLY NOW

Send your Application to <u>vismoot-ReWi@uibk.ac.at</u> (Subject: Vis Moot 2025 Application) including your CV, letter of motivation and academic transcript.



"The Vis Moot provides an excellent environment for young students to prepare for legal practice. The exchange with other students from all over the world is refreshing and enriching. 10/10 would do it again."

- Matteo Ciampa, Mootie 2020/21



now

Applications are accepted on a rolling basis.



