# Weak compactness, double limits, and a combinatorial lemma

Tommaso Russo

russotom@fel.cvut.cz

Faculty of Electrical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague Workshop in Analisi Astratta e Analisi Convessa Milano November 9, 2018



# A weakly compact overview

Let A be a subset of a Banach space X. We say that:

- ► A is relatively weakly sequentially compact if every sequence in A admits a weakly convergent subsequence in X.
- ► A is relatively weakly countably compact if every sequence in A admits a weak cluster point in X.

**The Eberlein–Šmulian Theorem.** A subset *A* of a Banach space is relatively weakly compact iff it is relatively weakly sequentially compact (iff it is relatively weakly countably compact).

# Proof of Šmulian's implication

Given a sequence  $(x_n)$  in A, the set  $\overline{A}^w \cap \overline{\operatorname{span}}(x_n)$  is a weakly compact subset of a separable Banach space. Therefore, it is metrisable.

# Grothendieck's insight

A subset  $\overline{A}$  of a Banach space X interchanges limits if for every pair of sequences  $(x_n) \subseteq A$  and  $(x_k^*) \subseteq B_{X^*}$  the existence of

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{k\to\infty}\langle x_k^*,x_n\rangle \qquad \lim_{k\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}\langle x_k^*,x_n\rangle$$

implies their equality.

### Theorem (Grothendieck, 1952)

A bounded subset A of a Banach space X is relatively weakly compact if and only if it interchanges limits.

**Note:** If *A* is relatively weakly countably compact and  $(x_n) \subseteq A$  and  $(x_k^*) \subseteq B_{X^*}$  are as above, then let *x* be a weak cluster point of  $(x_n)$ ,  $x^*$  be a  $w^*$  cluster point of  $(x_n^*)$ . Clearly,

$$\lim_n \lim_k \langle x_k^*, x_n \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle = \lim_k \lim_n \langle x_k^*, x_n \rangle.$$

#### Proof of Grothendieck's theorem

- ▶ **Strategy:** Prove that  $\overline{A}^w \subseteq X^{**}$  is actually contained in X. So, assume that  $\psi \in \overline{A}^{w^*}$  satisfies  $d := \operatorname{dist}(\psi, X) > 0$ .
- ▶ **Fact:** If *F* is a finite subset of *X* and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , (by Goldstine thm) there is  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that

$$|\langle f, x^* \rangle| < \varepsilon \ (f \in F)$$
 and  $|\langle \psi, x^* \rangle - d| < \varepsilon$ .

▶ **Step1:** Fix arbitrarily  $a_1 \in A$ , use the Fact to find  $x_1^* \in B_{X^*}$  with

$$|\langle a_1, x_1^* \rangle| < 1$$
 and  $|\langle \psi, x_1^* \rangle - d| < 1$ .

▶ Step2: As  $\psi \in \overline{A}^{w^*}$ , we may find  $a_2 \in A$  such that

$$|\langle \psi - a_2, x_1^* \rangle| < 1/2.$$

Then, using the Fact to  $\{a_1, a_2\}$ , find  $x_2^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that

$$|\langle a_1, x_2^* \rangle| < 1/2, \ |\langle a_2, x_2^* \rangle| < 1/2, \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \psi, x_2^* \rangle - d| < 1/2.$$

▶ Step3: Then use the functionals  $x_1^*, x_2^*$  and find  $a_3 \in A$  such that

$$|\langle \psi - a_3, x_1^* \rangle| < 1/3, \ |\langle \psi - a_3, x_2^* \rangle| < 1/3.$$

The Fact applied to  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$  yields  $x_3^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that

$$|\langle a_1, x_3^* \rangle| < 1/3, \ |\langle a_2, x_3^* \rangle| < 1/3, \ |\langle a_3, x_3^* \rangle| < 1/3, \ |\langle \psi, x_3^* \rangle - d| < 1/3.$$

▶ Induction: Yields sequences  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A$  and  $(x_k^*)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_{X^*}$  with

$$|\langle \psi - a_n, x_k^* \rangle| < 1/n \qquad (k \leqslant n-1)$$

$$|\langle a_n, x_k^* \rangle| < 1/k \qquad (n \leqslant k)$$

$$|\langle \psi, x_k^* \rangle - d| < 1/k$$
.

► The end:  $\lim_k \langle a_n, x_k^* \rangle = 0$ , whence  $\lim_n \lim_k \langle a_n, x_k^* \rangle = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\lim_{n} \langle a_n, x_k^* \rangle = \langle \psi, x_k^* \rangle$$

$$\lim_{k}\lim_{n}\langle a_{n},x_{k}^{*}\rangle=\lim_{k}\langle \psi,x_{k}^{*}\rangle=d\neq0.$$

# Reflexivity

Notice that, by discarding finitely many terms, in the above proof we obtain two sequences  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq A$  and  $(x_k^*)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_{X^*}$  such that

$$\langle a_n, x_k^* \rangle \approx \begin{cases} d & k < n \\ 0 & k \geqslant n. \end{cases}$$

In case X is not reflexive, we can apply the result with  $A = B_X$ , in which case we obtain the above with d = 1.

## Theorem (James, 1964)

A Banach space X fails to be reflexive if and only if for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  there are sequences  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_X$  and  $(x_k^*)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B_{X^*}$  such that

$$\langle X_n, X_k^* \rangle = \begin{cases} \theta & k < n \\ 0 & k \geqslant n. \end{cases}$$

#### Convex means

Given a set S, a *convex mean*  $\lambda$  on S is a function  $\lambda: S \to [0, \infty)$  such that:

- (i) the set  $supp(\lambda) := \{s \in S : \lambda(s) \neq 0\}$  is finite
- (ii)

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \lambda(s) = 1.$$

A family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\mathcal{S}}$  is hereditary if  $G \subseteq F \in \mathcal{F}$  yields  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

## Pták's combinatorial lemma, 1959

Let S be an infinite set and let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [S]^{<\omega}$  be an hereditary family. If

(†) 
$$\delta := \inf \left\{ \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(F) : \lambda \text{ is a convex mean on } S \right\} > 0,$$

there exists an infinite subset M of S such that every finite subset of M is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### Two uses of Pták's lemma

#### Krein theorem

The closed convex hull of a weakly compact set is weakly compact.

It suffices to prove that conv C interchanges limits, whenever C does. Pták's lemma allows to give an elementary proof of this implication.

#### Mazur Theorem

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq C(K)$  be a bounded sequence of continuous functions that converge pointwise to a continuous function f. Then f can be uniformly approximated by convex combinations of the  $f_n$ .

The classical proof depends on the Hahn–Banach and Riesz Representation Theorems. Pták's lemma allows for a self-contained simple proof, which, in particular, involves no measure theory whatsoever.

#### Proof of Mazur theorem

Assume that f = 0 and  $||f_n|| \le 1$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider, for  $x \in K$ , the finite set

$$F_x := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n(x)| \geqslant \varepsilon/2 \}.$$

We shall apply Pták's lemma to the hereditary family  $\mathcal{F}$  comprising all subsets of  $F_x$ ,  $x \in K$ .

We can easily see that the conclusion of Pták's lemma fails to hold for  $\mathcal{F}$ , so there exists a convex mean  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda(\mathcal{F}_x) < \varepsilon/2$  whenever  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ .

The function  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n) f_n$  is then as desired. In fact, if  $x \in K$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(i) f_i(x) \right| \leq \sum_{i \in F_x} \lambda(i) |f_i(x)| + \sum_{i \notin F_x} \lambda(i) |f_i(x)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in F_x} \lambda(i) + \varepsilon/2 \sum_{i \notin F_x} \lambda(i) \leq \lambda(F_x) + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon.$$

#### Uncountable extensions

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal number. We say that  $Pt\acute{a}k$ 's  $lemma\ holds$   $true\ for\ \kappa$  if for every set S with  $|S| \geqslant \kappa$  and every hereditary family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [S]^{<\omega}$  such that

$$(\dagger) \qquad \delta \coloneqq \inf \left\{ \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda(F) \colon \lambda \ \text{ is a convex mean on } S \right\} > 0,$$

there is a subset M of S, with  $|M| = \kappa$ , such that every finite subset of M belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## Theorem (Hájek and R., JMAA 2019)

The validity of Pták's lemma for  $\omega_1$  is independent of ZFC.

- (i)  $(MA_{\omega_1})$  Pták's lemma holds true for  $\omega_1$ ;
- (ii) (CH) Pták's lemma fails to hold for  $\omega_1$ ;
- (iii) If  $\kappa$  is regular and  $\lambda^\omega < \kappa$  whenever  $\lambda < \kappa$ , then Pták's lemma is true for  $\kappa$ .

### A closely related problem

Let K be a Corson compact and  $\tau$  be an uncountable cardinal.

**Problem:** Does  $\ell_1(\tau)$  embed in C(K)?

- ▶  $MA_{\omega_1}$  implies that C(K) is WLD, whence the answer is no;
- ▶ Under CH,  $\ell_1(\omega_1)$  may embed in C(K) (Erdős' space);
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\ell_1(\mathfrak{c}^+)$  does not embed in C(K) (Haydon);
- ▶ Is it consistent that  $\ell_1(\omega_2)$  embeds in C(K)?

# Thank you for your attention!