Problem 1

As we know from given conditions for every $t \ge 0$ there exists M(t) such that $||T_n(t)|| < M(t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us take $t \ge 0$ arbitrary and write t = k + r with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$||T_n(t)|| \le ||T_n(r)T_n(k)|| \le ||T_n(r)|| ||T_n(1)||^k$$

$$\le M^k(1)||T_n(r)|| \le (1+M(1))^k ||T_n(r)|| \le (1+M(1))^t ||T_n(r)||.$$

By using the uniformly boundedness principle and the uniform convergence of $T_n(r)f$ for r on compact intervals from $[0, \infty)$ we conclude that

$$\sup_{r\in[0,1)}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}T_n(r)<\infty$$

Thus there exists $M \ge 1$ such that $||T_n(r)|| \le M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Therefore we can continue the sequence of inequalities above and write

$$||T_n(t)|| \le ||T_n(r)T_n(k)|| \le M(1+M(1))^t = Me^{\omega t}$$

with $\omega = \log(1 + M(1))$.

Problem 2

Let us fix an arbitrary $f \in C_{(0)}([0,1])$. We have to prove that

(0.1)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) B_{n,k}(x) \to f(x), n \to +\infty$$

uniformly in $x \in [0,1]$. Let us fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$: $\forall n > N \ \forall x_1, x_2 \in [0,1]$: $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \frac{1}{n} |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| < \varepsilon$ (f is uniformly continuous). $(\forall n > N) \ (\forall x \in [0,1]) \ (\exists k \in \overline{1,n})$: $\frac{k-1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{k}{n}$. Then,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) B_{n,k}(x) - f(x)\right| = \left| (nx-k+1)f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + (k-nx)f\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| = \\ = \left| (nx-k)\left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right)\right) + \left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)\right) \right| \le 2\varepsilon.$$

In order to obtain the last inequality we used that f is uniformly continuous, $x \in \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right]$ and triangle inequality.

Problem 3

We first prove that there is a constant K > 0 with $||P_n||, ||J_n|| \le K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We actually show that K = 1. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \|P_n f\|_{X_n} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| n \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} f \, dx \right| \le \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \|f\| \, dx = \|f\|_X, \\ \|J_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|_X &= \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \, \chi_{[(k-1)/n, k/n]}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k| \, \chi_{[(k-1)/n, k/n]}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \|(y_1, \dots, y_n)\|_{X_n}. \end{split}$$

The identity $P_n J_n = I_n$ holds trivially.

Finally, let us show that the sequence $J_n P_n f$ converges to f as $n \to \infty$ in L¹-norm for all integrable functions f. We obtain the stated convergence for C([0, 1])-functions. Letting $g \in C([0, 1])$ and applying the mean value theorem for integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g(x) - n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, \chi_{[(k-1)/n,k/n]}(x) \right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}(0,1)} &= \int_{0}^{1} \left| g(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} g(\xi_{k}) \chi_{[(k-1)/n,k/n]}(x) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} \left| g(x) - g(\xi_{k}) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the uniform continuity of g. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f \in L^1(0,1)$ there exists $g \in C([0,1])$ such that $||f - g||_{L^1(0,1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_n P_n f - f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)} &\leq \|f - g\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)} + \|J_n P_n g - g\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)} + \|J_n P_n (f - g)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)} \\ &< \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \|J_n P_n (f - g)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof let us evaluate the last term in the above inequality

$$\begin{split} \|J_n P_n(f-g)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(0,1)} &= \int_0^1 \left| n \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (f-g)(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \,\chi_{[(k-1)/n,k/n]}(x) \right| \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_0^1 n \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (f-g)(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right| \chi_{[(k-1)/n,k/n]}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \int_{(k-1)/n}^{k/n} (f-g)(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \end{split}$$

Problem 4

We have to consider the general case in Proposition 3.10. Let us again start by fixing some $t_0 > 0$. Then for all $t \in [0, t_0]$ we obtain

$$(T_n(t)P_n - P_nT(t))A^{-1}f = \underbrace{T_n(t)(P_nA^{-1} - A_n^{-1}P_n)f}_{+ A_n^{-1}(T_n(t)P_n - P_nT(t))f + \underbrace{(A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1})T(t)f}_{- (A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1})T(t)f}$$

Using the stability assumption we conclude that the first and the last term of this sum converge to zero in the operator norm and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(t)(P_nA^{-1} - A_n^{-1}P_n)f\| &\leq Me^{\omega t_0} \|P_nA^{-1} - A_n^{-1}P_n\| \|f\| \\ \|(A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1})T(t)f\| &\leq Me^{\omega t_0} \|P_nA^{-1} - A_n^{-1}P_n\| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

Thus we now focus on the middle term.

Observe that the function

$$[0,t] \ni s \mapsto T_n(t-s)A_n^{-1}P_nT(s)A^{-1}h \in X$$

is differentiable and its derivative is

$$\frac{d}{ds}(T_n(t-s)A_n^{-1}P_nT(s)A^{-1}h) = T_n(t-s)(-A_nA_n^{-1}P_nT(s) + A_n^{-1}P_nT(s)A)A^{-1}h$$
$$= T_n(t-s)(A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1})T(s)h.$$

Hence, the fundamental theorem of calculus yields

$$A_n^{-1}(P_nT(t) - T_n(t)P_n)A^{-1}h = \int_0^t T_n(t-s)(A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1})T(s)hds$$

holds for all $h \in X$ and t > 0. Using this obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n^{-1}(T_n(t)P_n - P_nT(t))A^{-1}h\| &\leq \int_0^t Me^{\omega(t-s)} \|A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1}\| \|T(s)h\| ds \\ &\leq t_0 M^2 e^{\omega t_0} \|A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1}\| \|h\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account all obtained estimates, we conclude that for $g \in D(A^2)$ we can introduce f = Ag and h = Af to get that

$$||T_n(t)P_ng - P_nT(t)g|| \le ||A_n^{-1}P_n - P_nA^{-1}||M^2e^{\omega t_0}(t_0M||A^2g|| + 2||Ag||).$$

Observing that $||Ag|| \le ||A^{-1}|| ||A^2g||$ from the last inequality we obtain the desired estimate.

Problem A. 1

Let us put $\Delta := \Delta x = \Delta y = \frac{\pi}{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We'll use the denotation $w_{i,j}(t) := w(t, x_i, y_j) := w(t, i\Delta x, j\Delta y)$. The spatially discretised problem

has the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}w_{ij}(t) = \frac{w_{i+1,j}(t) - 2w_{i,j}(t) + w_{i-1,j}(t)}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{w_{i,j+1}(t) - 2w_{i,j}(t) + w_{i,j-1}(t)}{(\Delta y)^2},$$
$$i, j = 1, \dots, N-1$$

The boundary conditions take the form $w_{0,j}(t) = w_{i,0}(t) = w_{i,N}(t) = w_{N,j}(t) = 0$. Let us put $W(t) = (\overrightarrow{w}_1(t), \overrightarrow{w}_2(t), \dots, \overrightarrow{w}_{N-1}(t))^{\top}$, where $\overrightarrow{w}_j(t) = (w_{1,j}(t), w_{2,j}(t), \dots, w_{N-1,j}(t))$. System (0.2) can be represented in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(t) = M_{x,y}W(t),$$

where $M_{x,y} = M_x + M_y$ is $(N-1)^2 \times (N-1)^2$ -matrix and matrices M_x , M_y have the form:

$$M_x = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & M & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & M \end{pmatrix}, \ M_y = \frac{1}{(\Delta y)^2} \begin{pmatrix} I_{-2} & I_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ I_1 & I_{-2} & I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I_1 & I_{-2} & I_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I_1 & I_{-2} & I_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I_1 & I_{-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where M is the same matrix as in Appendix (formula (A.3)), $I_1 = diag(1, 1, ..., 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)\times(N-1)}$, $I_{-2} = (-2)diag(1, 1, ..., 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{(N-1)\times(N-1)}$. Matrix $M_{x,y}$ can also be represented in the form: (0.4)

$$M_{x,y} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} & I_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ I_1 & \tilde{M} & I_1 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & I_1 & \tilde{M} & I_1 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I_1 & \tilde{M} & I_1\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I_1 & \tilde{M} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{M} = \frac{1}{(\Delta)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0\\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 1 & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -4 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem A. 2

Our proof starts with the observation that $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric and $D(A) \subset D(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Next, by means of the Cauchy inequality

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 2\langle Au, u \rangle - 2\langle f, u \rangle = 2\langle Au, w \rangle - 2\langle f, w \rangle = 2\langle A^{\frac{1}{2}}u, A^{\frac{1}{2}}w \rangle - 2\langle f, w \rangle \\ &\leq 2\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\| - 2\langle f, w \rangle \le \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}w\|^2 - 2\langle f, w \rangle \\ &= \langle Au, u \rangle + \langle Aw, w \rangle - 2\langle f, w \rangle, \end{split}$$

4

where the equality holds only if $A^{\frac{1}{2}}u = A^{\frac{1}{2}}w$, hence if u = w, and the proof is complete.

Problem A.3

a) In this case we have basis functions $\varphi_j = \sin jx$. Thus $A\varphi_j = -j^2 \sin jx$ and the elements of the matrix A_m are defined as

$$(A_m)_{jk} = \langle A\varphi_j, \varphi_k \rangle = \int_0^{n} (-j^2) \sin(jx) \sin(kx) dx = -jk \frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{jk}$$

b)As basis functions in this case do not belong to $H^2(0,\pi)$, the matrix A_m has to be defined by using the weak formulation, i.e.,

$$(A_m)_{jk} = - \langle \frac{d}{dx}\varphi_j, \frac{d}{dx}\varphi_k \rangle$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < j - 1 \\ \int (\frac{j\Delta x}{(\frac{1}{\Delta x})^2} dx & \text{for } k = j - 1 \\ -\left(\int (j-1)\Delta x & (\frac{1}{\Delta x})^2 dx + \int (j+1)\Delta x \\ (j-1)\Delta x & (\frac{1}{\Delta x})^2 dx + \int (j+1)\Delta x \\ (\frac{j\Delta x}{(\frac{1}{\Delta x})^2} dx & \text{for } k = j \\ \frac{j\Delta x}{(j-1)\Delta x} & (\frac{1}{\Delta x})^2 dx & \text{for } k = j + 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } k > j + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k < j - 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\Delta x} & \text{for } k = j - 1 \\ -\frac{2}{\Delta x} & \text{for } k = j \\ \frac{1}{\Delta x} & \text{for } k = j + 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } k > j + 1 \end{cases}$$
i.e., $A_m = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \text{tridiag}(1, -2, 1).$

L'viv Team: Oleksandr Chvartatskyi, Stepan Man'ko, Nataliya Pronska.