

15th Internet Seminar 2011/12
OPERATOR SEMIGROUPS FOR NUMERICAL ANALYSIS
Lecture 10

L'viv Team: Oleksandr Chvartatskyi, Stepan Man'ko, Nataliya Pronska

PROBLEM 1

To compute the constant in the $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ term we firstly consider the product $\left(I + hB + \frac{h^2}{2}B^2 + \dots\right)\left(I + hA + \frac{h^2}{2}A^2 + \dots\right)$ and collect all its terms with h^3 . We obtain

$$\frac{h^3}{6}A^3 + \frac{h^3}{2}BA^2 + \frac{h^3}{2}B^2A + \frac{h^3}{6}B^3 = \frac{h^3}{6}(A^3 + 3BA^2 + 3B^2A + B^3).$$

Next compute

$$(A + B)^3 = A^3 + A^2B + ABA + AB^2 + BA^2 + BAB + B^2A + B^3$$

Then consider the following difference

$$\begin{aligned} & A^3 + 3BA^2 + 3B^2A + B^3 - (A + B)^3 \\ &= 2BA^2 + 2B^2A - A^2B - ABA - AB^2 - BAB \\ &= BA^2 - A^2B + B^2A - AB^2 + BA(A - B) - (A - B)BA \\ &= [B, A^2] + [B^2, A] + [BA, A - B]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sought coefficient is $\frac{1}{6}([B, A^2] + [B^2, A] + [BA, A - B])$.

PROBLEM 2

Let us consider bounded operators $A, B \in L(X)$. Then we can define

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{tA} &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tA)^n}{n!}, \\ e^{tB} &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(tB)^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Operators (1) are strongly continuous semigroups (Exercise 2, Lecture 2) of types $(1, \|A\|)$ and $(1, \|B\|)$. At first we shall show that (i) implies (ii). I.e., we have $AB = BA$. Then

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(tA)^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(tB)^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(tB)^j}{j!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(tA)^k}{k!}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the boundedness of the operator A one can obtain $(\forall t \geq 0) \exists M_1(t): \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(t\|A\|)^k}{k!} \leq M_1(t).$$

Analogously, for operator B : $(\forall t \geq 0) \exists M_2(t): \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(t\|B\|)^j}{j!} \leq M_2(t).$$

Using inequalities (3), (4), we can take the limit of (2) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $m \rightarrow \infty$ and obtain:

$$(5) \quad e^{tA}e^{tB} = e^{tB}e^{tA}.$$

Now let us prove that (ii) implies (i). Semigroups $T_1(t) := e^{tA}$, $T_2(t) := e^{tB}$ are strongly continuous semigroups of types $(1, \|A\|)$, $(1, \|B\|)$. Let us fix an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$: $\lambda > \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$. Then, from Proposition 2.26 it follows that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\lambda, A)f &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_1(s) f ds, \\ R(\lambda, B)f &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau} T_2(\tau) f d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\lambda, A)R(\lambda, B)f &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau} T_1(\tau) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_2(s) f ds \right) d\tau = \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} T_2(s) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \tau} T_1(\tau) f d\tau \right) ds = R(\lambda, B)R(\lambda, A)f. \end{aligned}$$

Equalities (7) follow from the linearity of operators T_1, T_2 , commutativity ($T_1(t)T_2(t) = T_2(t)T_1(t)$) and changing of the order of integration. Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda, B)R(\lambda, A)f &= R(\lambda, A)R(\lambda, B)f, \quad f \in X \\ R(\lambda, B)R(\lambda, A)f &= R(\lambda, A)R(\lambda, B)f \iff \\ \iff (R(\lambda, B)R(\lambda, A))^{-1}g &= (R(\lambda, A)R(\lambda, B))^{-1}g, \quad g \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\lambda - A)(\lambda - B) = (\lambda - B)(\lambda - A) \iff AB = BA.$$

We have proved the equivalence of (i) and (ii). It only remains to show that (i), (ii) implies:

$$(8) \quad e^{tA}e^{tB} = e^{t(A+B)}.$$

Let us consider one of sums in (2), assuming that $n > m$:

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n t^{k+j} \frac{B^j A^k}{j!k!} = \sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^s t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} + \sum_{s=m}^n \sum_{j=0}^m t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} +$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{s=n}^{n+m} \sum_{j=s-n}^m t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!}$$

Let us consider last two sums in (9):

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{s=m}^n \sum_{j=0}^m t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} + \sum_{s=n}^{n+m} \sum_{j=s-n}^m t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} \right\| \leq \\ & \sum_{s=m}^n \sum_{j=0}^s t^s \frac{K_1^j K_2^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} + \sum_{s=n}^{n+m} \sum_{j=0}^s t^s \frac{K_1^j K_2^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} = \\ & \sum_{s=m}^n t^s \frac{(K_1 + K_2)^s}{s!} + \sum_{s=n}^{n+m} t^s \frac{(K_1 + K_2)^s}{s!}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last estimate becomes clear that if take the limit in (9) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $m \rightarrow \infty$, last two sums will turn into zero. Finally, we observe that

$$\sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^s t^s \frac{B^j A^{s-j}}{j!(s-j)!} = \sum_{s=0}^m \frac{t^s (A+B)^s}{s!} \rightarrow e^{t(A+B)}, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROBLEM 3

Claim: The Marchuk-Strang splitting $F_{MS}(h) = e^{\frac{h}{2}A} e^{hB} e^{\frac{h}{2}A}$ is of second order convergent for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^d)$.

By the Lax equivalence theorem it is sufficient to show consistency and stability for $t < t_0$. To prove the consistency, we first consider the local error

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{MS}(t, h) &= \|F(h)u(h) - u(h+t)\| = \|e^{\frac{h}{2}A} e^{hB} e^{\frac{h}{2}A} u(t) - e^{h(A+B)} u(t)\| \\ &\leq \|e^{\frac{h}{2}A} e^{hB} e^{\frac{h}{2}A} - e^{h(A+B)}\| \cdot \|u(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The local error can be expressed by the power series of the corresponding exponential functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{MS}(t, h) &\leq \|(I + \frac{h}{2}A + \frac{h^2}{8}A^2 + \frac{h^3}{48}A^3 + \dots)(I + hB + \frac{h^2}{2}B^2 + \frac{h^3}{6}B^3 + \dots) \\ &\quad \times (I + \frac{h}{2}A + \frac{h^2}{8}A^2 + \frac{h^3}{48}A^3 + \dots) \\ &\quad - (I + h(A+B) + \frac{h^2}{2}(A+B)^2 + \frac{h^3}{6}(A+B)^3 + \dots)\| \cdot \|u(t)\| \\ &= \frac{h^3}{24} \|2ABA + 2B^2A + 2AB^2 - BA^2 - A^2B - 4BAB + \dots\| \cdot \|u(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{h^3}{24} \|2[[A, B], B] + [[A, B], A]\| \cdot \|u(t)\| + \mathcal{O}(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate consistency follows, since $\frac{1}{h^2} \mathcal{E}_{MS}(h, u(t)) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \searrow 0$. We note that from the considerations above, we also conclude that the Marchuk-Strang splitting is of second order. To show stability, we have to ensure the existence of a constant $M > 0$ such that $F_{MS}(\frac{t}{n})^n \leq M$ for all

fixed $t < t_0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $t < t_0$, the boundedness of A and B implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{MS}(\tfrac{t}{n})^n\| &\leq \|F_{MS}(\tfrac{t}{n})\|^n = \|e^{\frac{t}{2n}A} e^{\frac{t}{n}B} e^{\frac{t}{2n}A}\|^n \\ &\leq (e^{\frac{t}{2n}\|A\|})^n \cdot (e^{\frac{t}{n}\|B\|})^n \cdot (e^{\frac{t}{2n}\|A\|})^n = e^{t\|A\|} \cdot e^{t\|B\|} \leq e^{t_0(\|A\|+\|B\|)} \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 4

Consider $F(t) = e^{tB}e^{tA}$. For $f \in D$ we have that

$$\frac{F(t)f - f}{t} = e^{tB} \frac{e^{tA}f - f}{t} + \frac{e^{tB}f - f}{t}.$$

Then using arguments analogous to those in the proof of Corollary 4.10 we can show that

$$\lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{F(t)f - f}{t} = Bf + Af = (A + B)f.$$

For the function $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ we also have that $F(0) = I$ and from (10.3) for some $\omega \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$

$$\left\| F\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^n \right\| \leq M e^{\omega n t} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 0.$$

And now using the fact that $(\lambda_0 - (A+B))D$ is dense in X for some $\lambda_0 > \omega$ and the Theorem 5.12 we conclude that the operator $C = \overline{A+B}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup given by

$$e^{tC}u_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(e^{\frac{t}{n}B} e^{\frac{t}{n}A} \right)^n u_0$$

for all $u_0 \in X$, and the convergence is uniform for t in compact intervals, i.e., the assertion of the Theorem holds true.

PROBLEM 5

Let us denote by $T_A(t)$ and $T_B(t)$ the strongly continuous semigroups with generators A and B respectively. Then, by Proposition 2.2 b) there are $M_A, M_B \geq 1$, $\omega_A, \omega_B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that T_A, T_B are of types $(M_A, \omega_A), (M_B, \omega_B)$. We can assume that ω_A, ω_B are nonnegative.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left(e^{\frac{t}{n}A} e^{\frac{t}{n}B} \right)^n \right\| &\leq \left\| e^{\frac{t}{n}A} \right\| \left\| e^{\frac{t}{n}B} \right\| \left\| e^{\frac{t}{n}B} e^{\frac{t}{n}A} \right\|^{n-1} \leq \\ (11) \quad &\leq M_A e^{\omega_A \frac{t}{n}} M_B e^{\omega_B \frac{t}{n}} M e^{\omega t} \leq M_A M_B M e^{(\omega_A + \omega_B + \omega)t} \end{aligned}$$

$M_1 := M_A M_B M$, $\omega_1 := \omega_A + \omega_B + \omega$. Thus, we proved that

$$\left\| \left(e^{\frac{t}{n}A} e^{\frac{t}{n}B} \right)^n \right\| \leq M_1 e^{\omega_1 t}.$$

Now, let us prove another estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\frac{t}{2n}A} e^{\frac{t}{n}B} e^{\frac{t}{2n}A} \right\| &\leq \left\| e^{\frac{t}{n}B} e^{\frac{t}{n}A} \right\|^{n-1} \left\| e^{\frac{t}{n}B} \right\| \left\| e^{\frac{t}{2n}A} \right\|^2 \leq \\ &M_A^2 e^{\omega_A \frac{t}{n}} M e^{\omega t} M_B e^{\omega_B \frac{t}{n}} \leq M_A^2 M_B M e^{(\omega_A + \omega_B + \omega)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $M_2 := M^2 M_B M$, $\omega_2 := \omega_A + \omega_B + \omega$. It completes the proof.