

## **WP11**

2nd Confrontation of theory and practice:

Presentation of strategies for the solution of conflicts within the regions

WP RESPONSIBLE: UNCEM

Matteo Pippa

DIAMONT-Meeting, September 8-9<sup>th</sup> 2005 in Bozen/Bolzano

## INDEX OF PRESENTATION

1. Goals
2. Inputs from the other work packages
3. Description of WP11 main activities
4. Partners' tasks
5. Critical point and consideration

## 1. The Goals

- Presentation and discussion, in every test region, of the solutions to the problems (conflicts) encountered that have been described in the previous phase (WP10), which involved the exploration of the indicators and of the tools of sustainable regional development planning.

In this way it will be possible to:

- provide the conditions for closing the gap between the scientific results outlined in WP8 and WP9, and the needs of the various stakeholders who will have to make use of such results.

## 2. Inputs from the other work packages

- the fine-tuning of indicator based tools for sustainable regional development – WP9
- the identification of the problems encountered in every test region – WP10
- the identification of the strategies for the solution of the problems reported – WP10

### 3. Description of WP11 main activities

Phase a: Analysis of the first test- region

Focus on:

- what was satisfying and what was not in the relationship established with the stakeholders
- what are stakeholders' expectations towards their participation to the explorative phase (internal expectations) and to the entire Diamont project (external expectations)

← Prepare a short questionnaire for WP10

And more:

- strategies have been identified in order to solve the problems reported by the stakeholders
- what changes have been introduced to the tools for sustainable regional development, following the results of WP9

Players:

Amgi and Ifuplan

### 3. Description of WP11 main activities

Phase b: Identification of the solutions

The goal of this phase is to **identify the possible solution** to open issues

How:

the creation of a **group of experts**, selected by each partner in its own country;

These experts will be asked to advance some solutions to the problems identified by the stakeholders who participated to the first workshop

The experts selected are professionals working in the specific sector and/or land-use planning experts

Players:

All the partners

### 3. Description of WP11 main activities

Phase b: Identification of the solutions

Each partner participating to the project can therefore decide for:

- Distance questioning of the experts using a questionnaire where they are asked to advance some hypothetical solutions to orderly listed problems. Such list of problems is one of the outputs of WP10
- Face to face interviews with each expert using the same orderly listed problem (output of WP10)
- The convening of a workshop of the experts, who can increase their knowledge of the issue by sharing their views and experiences through interaction techniques (for example: Nominal Group Technique)

Regardless of the chosen method, the **result** to be achieved by each partner is a list of possible solutions to the problems identified by the stakeholders

Players:

All the partners

### 3. Description of WP11 main activities

Phase c: Presentation of the solutions identified

The goal is to present the solutions identified by the experts to the stakeholders involved in the exploration phase.

During the workshop, stakeholders will be introduced to the solutions identified by the experts.

Stakeholders need to evaluate:

- the completeness of the solutions presented
- the relative importance of each solution presented
- the feasibility of each solution presented

The outcome of this workshop is the creation of an orderly list of solutions following these specific principles (importance and feasibility)

Players:

All the partners



#### 4. Partners' tasks

- identifying the experts for each country
- planning and managing 1 workshop
- drawing and submitting to Uncem a final report on the identified solutions

The contribution of AMGI and Ifuplan is:

- sharing the experience of WP10
- participating to the outlining of the fine-tuning of the indicator based tools for sustainable regional development

## 5. Critical Point and consideration

- we cannot anticipate what kind of problems will be identified by the various stakeholders
- Cooperation with WP 9: we consider convenient that the fine-tuning of the indicator base tools for sustainable regional development should follow the development of WP11 without any earlier deadline.